Executive Summary of Optionsⁱ

| Option | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Direct delivery i.e. no | Council in control | May not be realistic if incumbent's |
| separate organisation | | management employees are not caught by |
| – council provides | No private profit extracted | TUPE or opt out of TUPE (council does not |
| services | | currently employ staff with the necessary |
| | Staff receive benefits of working | experience) |
| | for the council – likely to have | |
| | union and staff support | Significant start-up costs e.g. vans, IT as |
| | | there is currently little/no infrastructure |
| | No risk of contractor insolvency | |
| | | DLO will find it more difficult to meet |
| | No procurement necessary for DLO | fluctuating demands than a national |
| | | contractor who can flex their workforce |
| | Ability to ensure positive | across geographic areas |
| | relationships with tenants | |
| | | Lack of established ways of working and |
| | | corporate experience of delivering services |
| | | |
| | | Significant resources required to undertake |
| | | multiple procurements e.g. vans, IT, sub- |
| | | contractors |
| | | |
| | | Will have to procure sub-contractors etc. in |
| | | compliance with EU regulated public |
| | | procurement regime and Contract Standing |
| | | Orders |
| | | Mill seed to support a property along a discussion. |
| | | Will need to procure some planned repairs |
| | | and major works where the DLO does not have the capacity / skills |
| | | |
| Outsourcing – | Successful bidder will supply vans | Risk of contractor insolvency (but this risk |
| either via a | and IT and will absorb start-up | can be reduced by robust consideration of |
| partnership contract, | costs (though will be reflected in | financial standing in selection stage of |
| term contract or | pricing) | procurement) |
| framework agreement | p | |
| | Likely to have established ways of | Incumbent will have advantage in |
| | working/procedures in place to | procurement process over other suppliers |
| | deliver services | as no start-up costs and in-depth |
| | | knowledge of councils requirements |
| | Council will receive a contractor | (though the council is under a duty to try |
| | warranty in relation to the works | and level the playing field if possible during |
| | | the procurement process) |
| | Existing contract has worked well | |
| | for responsive repairs. Separate | Procurement process encourages low bids |
| | tailored procurements e.g. for | which may lead bidders to plan to reduce |
| | responsive repairs and major | wages (despite constraints of TUPE) |
| | works would ensure right contract | |
| | and contractor for different | May not work as well for customer contact |

| | aspects of service | where more control and interaction with tenants would be beneficial |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Wholly owned subsidiary | Council in control in relation to staff | If contractor appointed to manage – will extract some profit High cost of establishing and administrative costs of supporting board etc |
| | | Potentially difficult to navigate as staff employed by council but taking direction from contractor |
| VL | May be able to win other work and benefit from economies of scale | Competitive dialogue takes 12- 18 months. Incumbent has advantage Very high start-up costs e.g. legal work to support competitive dialogue and establish JV |
| | | Ongoing costs of supporting board etc. |

ⁱ This executive summary does not include all the advantages and disadvantages set out in the Trowers & Savills report but is intended to capture the most significant ones.